© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Public Health Association.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckad189 Advance Access published on 27 October 2023

# Public attitudes, knowledge and educational needs toward genetic testing and omics sciences: a pilot survey conducted in Italy

Giovanna Elisa Calabrò (b) <sup>1</sup>, Francesco Andrea Causio<sup>1</sup>, Denise Pires Marafon<sup>1</sup>, Michele Sassano (b) <sup>2</sup>, Francesca Moccia<sup>3</sup>, Roberta Pastorino<sup>1,4</sup>, Stefania Boccia<sup>1,4</sup>

- 1 Section of Hygiene, Department of Life Sciences and Public Health, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy
- 2 Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- 3 CittadinanzAttiva, Rome, Italy
- 4 Department of Woman and Child Health and Public Health, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Correspondence: Roberta Pastorino, Section of Hygiene, Department of Life Sciences and Public Health, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, L.go F. Vito 1, 00168 Rome, Italy, Tel: 06 30154396, e-mail: roberta.pastorino@unicatt.it

Advancements in genomics have significant implications for public health, making citizens' education vital for informed decision-making. Based on two literature reviews' findings and a survey conducted with experts from the Italian Network of Genomics in Public Health, we conducted a pilot survey on Italian citizens' attitudes, knowledge and educational needs toward genetic testing and omics sciences. Our results demonstrate a widespread interest in genetic testing and uncertainties regarding associated risks, with 99% of participants acknowledging insufficient knowledge of genetic testing. There is an urgent need for educational tools to improve citizens' literacy and engagement in this rapidly evolving field.

#### Introduction

The omics revolution encompassed diverse scientific disciplines, making omics sciences such as genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, microbiomics and nutribiomics, increasingly relevant and resulting in a surge in knowledge and availability of accessible technologies like next-generation sequencing. These were pivotal in developing novel diagnostic tests, discovering new biomarkers and drugs, and advancing personalized medicine. <sup>1</sup>

Public health genomics' implementation in European healthcare systems is limited despite some countries adopting targeted health policies.<sup>2</sup> Italy is a frontrunner, incorporating precision health as a dedicated pillar in National Prevention Plans since 2010, publishing the first-ever Guidelines on Genomics in Public Health in 2013,<sup>3</sup> and being among the first 13 countries to sign the Declaration of Cooperation 'Towards access to at least 1 million sequenced genomes in the European Union by 2022'.4 In 2017, the Italian National Innovation Plan of the Healthcare System<sup>5</sup> recognized the need for educational initiatives targeting professionals, citizens, and decisionmakers to integrate omics sciences into healthcare. The Italian Ministry of Health funded several projects, including a collaborative survey with a civic organization. This pilot survey is the first in Italy evaluating citizens' attitudes, knowledge and educational requirements in the omics sciences field; the findings are presented in this paper.

#### **Methods**

Based on the findings from two literature reviews<sup>1,6</sup> and a survey conducted with experts from the Italian Network of Genomics in Public Health (GENISAP),<sup>7</sup> we designed a questionnaire evaluating existing knowledge and awareness among Italian citizens regarding genetic testing and omics sciences.

The survey consisted of 57 questions divided into three sections: 'Personal knowledge of omics sciences', 'Attitudes' and 'Educational needs'. The questionnaire and a brief description of the project were disseminated on the channels of CittadinanzAttiva, a citizen activism organization, from 29 October 2020 to 15 April 2021. A total of 359 participants were recruited, and all completed the survey.

Determinants of personal knowledge and attitudes were assessed using two multivariable logistic regression models. The variables 'knowledge' and 'attitudes' were categorized into two levels, with respondents classified as having knowledge or attitudes if they provided appropriate responses to at least 50% of the questions (e.g. 'reporting knowledge of personalized medicine', 'being informed about genetic testing'). Those who could not provide an answer or judgment were classified as having 'no knowledge' or 'no positive attitude'. The covariates included age, gender, personal or family history of a genetic disease, education level and genetics education at school and/or university. Multivariable logistic regression models were built using the strategy suggested by Hosmer and Lemeshow. The univariable analysis examined each variable and included it in the multivariable logistic model when P values < 0.15. Independent variables' influence on each investigated binary outcome was expressed as adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI).

Statistical significance was set at P values < 0.05. The statistical analysis was performed using the STATA 16.0 software. The Ethics Committee of Fondazione Policlinico Gemelli approved this survey under ID 3504.

### **Results**

#### Demographic and general information

All 359 citizens completed the survey. Results are reported in Table 1. The participants' mean age was 46 (SD = 16), and 65.2% were female. Most held a university degree (42.9%) or higher education level (22.3%). Regarding personal or family history, most respondents reported no

**Table 1** Survey questions and public responses on knowledge, awareness and educational needs on genetic testing and omics sciences

	n	%
Gender	224	65.3
Female Mala	234 125	65.2 34.8
Male Age (years)	125 Mean = 46	34.8 SD = 16
Educational degree	ivicari = 40	3D — 10
Compulsory school or lower level	125	34.8
University degree	154	42.9
Postgraduate training	80	22.3
Have you ever formally studied genetics at school?a	123	34.3
Have you ever formally studied genetics at university? <sup>a</sup>	61	17.0
Do you have relatives (or friends) who are carriers of a genetic disease? <sup>a</sup>	88	24.5
Are you a carrier of a genetic disease? <sup>a</sup>	9	2.5
Are you suffering from a chronic disease? <sup>a</sup>	51	14.2
Knowledge (N = 359)		
	n	%
Do you know what chronic diseases, also called multifactorial ones, are?	244	68.0
Are you informed about the possibilities of using genetic testing to prevent disease? <sup>a</sup>	234	65.2
Are you informed about the possibilities of	253	70.5
using genetic testing to treat a disease? <sup>a</sup> Are you informed about the possibility of	262	73.0
being diagnosed with a DNA test?a		
Indicate which of the following genetic		
testing applications you have heard of <sup>a</sup>		
Determination of the risk or likelihood of	262	73.0
contracting a particular disease		
Determination of the treatment of the	191	53.2
disease after diagnosis  Determination of the efficacy of drugs in an	198	55.2
individual Determination of the probability of	306	85.2
transmitting on a hereditary disease to children	300	03.1
Have you ever heard of gene therapy? <sup>a</sup>	221	61.6
Have you ever heard of personalized medicine	200	55.7
and/or precision medicine? <sup>a</sup>		
Have you ever heard of omics sciences? <sup>a</sup>	95	26.5
Have you ever heard of direct-to-consumer genetic testing (DTC-GT)? <sup>a</sup>	120	33.4
Attitudes (N = 359)		
	n	%
Where there are effective tools to prevent		
certain diseases in a targeted way, would you like to know your risk of contracting them?		
Yes	286	79.7
No	17	4.7
I don't know	56	15.6
Would you undergo a DTC genetic test?		
No	37	10.3
I don't know what they are	144	40.1
Yes	147	41.0
Yes but only if free	31	8.6
How much do you agree with the statement 'doctors should be involved in prescribing tests and explaining the results of genetic		

Table 1 Continued

Attitudes (N = 359)		
	n	%
Disagree	3	0.8
Neither agree nor disagree	54	15.1
Would your lifestyle change according to the	318	88.6
results of a genetic test? <sup>a</sup>		
In which cases would you like to know the		
results of a genetic/omic test you have		
undergone? Never	4	1.1
Always	273	76.0
Only in case of treatable illnesses	22	6.1
Only in case of serious illnesses	21	5.9
Only in case of serious illnesses but treatable	39	10.9
at the same time		
If you are undergoing a genetic/omic test, would	342	95.3
you like to know the risk of disease for your		
family members and the risk of having passed		
on the predisposition for a disease to your		
children? <sup>a</sup>		
Would you share genetic test results for research		
purposes? Yes	200	85.8
res No	308 10	85.8 2.8
I don't know	41	11.4
Would you participate in genomic research	267	74.4
studies? <sup>a</sup>		
Do you think the privacy of individuals		
participating in genomic studies is adequately		
protected?		
Yes	102	28.4
No	25	7.0
I don't know	232	64.6
Do you agree with the statement 'all women		
planning a pregnancy must be tested for genetic risk'?		
Agree	188	52.4
Disagree	43	12.0
Neither agree nor disagree	128	35.6
Educational needs (N = 359)		0/
	n	%
Do you think citizens are adequately informed	5	1.4
about the omics sciences? <sup>a</sup>		
Do you think there is a need for more	343	95.5
training/information initiatives on omics		
sciences aimed at citizens? <sup>a</sup>	13	2.0
Do you think citizens are adequately informed about DTC-GTs? <sup>a</sup>	13	3.6
Do you think there is a need for more	341	95.0
training/information initiatives on genetic	341	33.0
tests and DTC-GT aimed at citizens? <sup>a</sup>		
Predictors of knowledge of the omics sciences		
Explanatory variable	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
A == ( )	0.00 (0.00 4.00)	0.40
Age (years)	0.99 (0.98–1.01)	0.48
Gender, Female	1.00 (0.61–1.65)	0.99
Education degree (ref. Compulsory school or lower level)		
University degree	4 31 (2 46_7 53)	<0.0001

(continued)

0.037

0.12

0.87

0.008

4.31 (2.46–7.53) < 0.0001

3.67 (2.09-6.46) < 0.0001

1.99 (1.04–3.80)

1.63 (0.88-3.03)

1.06 (0.55-2.03)

1.95 (1.19-3.18)

(continued)

University degree

Central

North

Postgraduate training

Italy: region of origin (ref. South)

Genetics training at school and/or university

Table 1 Continued

Predictors of knowledge of the omics sciences				
Explanatory variable	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value		
Carrier of a genetic disease and/or with relatives (or friends) who are carriers of a genetic disease				
Carrier of a chronic disease	1.14 (0.58–2.25)	0.71		

Predictors of positive attitudes toward the omics sciences			
Explanatory variable	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	
Age (years)	1.02 (1.01–1.04)	0.003	
Gender, Female	1.76 (1.08-2.85)	0.023	
Education degree (ref. Compulsory school or lower level)			
University degree	2.51 (1.49-4.21)	0.001	
Postgraduate training	4.21 (2.19-8.09)	< 0.0001	
Italy: region of origin (ref. South)			
Central	0.57 (0.31-1.05)	0.071	
North	0.78 (0.41-1.47)	0.44	
Genetics training at school and/or university	1.58 (0.96-2.60)	0.069	
Carrier of a genetic disease and/or with relatives (or friends) who are carriers of a genetic disease	0.98 (0.58–1.67)	0.94	
Carrier of a chronic disease	1.11 (0.57–2.15)	0.75	

a: total number of respondents who answered "Yes".

genetic disorders (97.5%, 75.5%). Around 34% of participants studied genetics in school, while 17% studied genetics in university.

#### Knowledge, attitudes and educational needs

More than half of the respondents had heard about personalized medicine (55.7%); most were unaware of omics sciences (73.5%), while 33.4% had heard about direct-to-consumer-genetic-testing (DTC-GT) (see Table 1). Respondents were informed about the use of genetic knowledge to prevent diseases (65.2%), treat diseases (70.5%), and be diagnosed with DNA testing (73.0%). Additionally, 61.6% of respondents had heard about gene therapy.

If effective prevention tools were available, 79.7% of participants expressed interest in knowing their disease risk, and 49.6% would consider undergoing DTC-GT. That physicians should be involved in prescribing genetic tests and explaining the results were agreed by 84.1%.

After undergoing genetic testing, most respondents would always like to be informed of the results (76.0%) or only if pathologic findings are present (22.9%). Also, 95.3% would like to know their family members' disease risk and the risk of passing on disease predisposition to their children. Additionally, 88.6% reported being willing to make lifestyle changes based on the results of a genetic test. Fewer respondents (52.4%) agreed that all women planning a pregnancy should be tested for genetic risk, with many undecided (35.6%). On privacy, 85.8% would share their genetic test results for research purposes, but 64.6% were unsure whether the privacy of individuals participating in genomics studies is adequately protected.

Almost all (98.6%) agreed that citizens are not adequately informed about omics sciences and DTC-GT, emphasizing the need for more training and information initiatives.

#### Multivariable analysis

Factors associated with knowledge of genetic testing and omics sciences included education level higher than compulsory schooling [university degree—OR 4.31 (2.46–7.53), P < 0.0001; postgraduate training—1.99 (1.04–3.80), P < 0.037], genetics training in school and/or university [OR 1.95 (1.19–3.18), P = 0.008] and being a carrier of a genetic disease and/or having relatives (or friends) who are carriers [OR 3.67 (2.09–6.46), P < 0.0001].

A positive attitude toward omics sciences was associated with age [OR 1.02 (1.01–1.04) P = 0.003], female gender [OR 1.76 (1.08–2.85), P = 0.023] and an education degree higher than compulsory school [university degree—OR 2.51 (1.49–4.21), P = 0.001; postgraduate training—OR 4.21 (2.19–8.09), <0.0001]. There was also a positive, borderline non-significant association with receiving genetics training in school and/or university [OR 1.58 (0.96–2.60), P = 0.069].

#### Discussion

Our survey explored the Italian public's knowledge, attitudes and educational needs with regards to genetic testing and omics sciences. Participants have low perceived knowledge, suggesting more educational efforts; they recognize the potential benefits of genetics in disease prevention, diagnosis and treatment.

Participants demonstrated favorable attitudes toward genetic testing and omics sciences. Most wanted to understand their disease risk if effective preventive measures were accessible; slightly less than half indicated a willingness to undergo DTC-GT. Most participants agreed that healthcare professionals should be involved in genetic test recommendation and results interpretation. Nearly all wanted to learn about their family members' disease risks and potential transmission of predispositions to their children. Most were willing to make lifestyle changes following genetic testing results.

Privacy and data protection emerged as significant concerns. Most respondents were willing to share their genetic test results for research purposes, and over half expressed uncertainty about the adequacy of privacy protections for individuals participating in genomics studies.

Almost all respondents concurred that more public awareness regarding omics sciences and DTC-GT is needed, emphasizing training and information initiatives to educate the public.

Respondents strongly agreed on the need for accessible and reliable information. In addition, the highly educated showed more positive attitudes toward omics sciences.

Our survey's results show citizens have a positive attitude toward omics sciences and genetic testing, reporting the need for more educational initiatives; these are in line with the findings of our prior systematic review<sup>1</sup> and international literature. <sup>9,10</sup> However, sample size could impair the possibility of drawing generalized conclusions, and high representation of highly educated participants may impact responses, e.g. on knowledge and awareness. We are conducting a second survey in eight European countries (Italy, the Netherlands, France, Germany, Spain, Hungary, Romania and Poland) for more complete data.

Omics sciences' advancements have far-reaching implications for public health, highlighting the need for a strategic approach to promote and govern this disruptive innovation. Educating citizens becomes essential in enabling them to make informed decisions regarding their health.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Italian Ministry of Health for supporting the activities of the National Center for Disease Prevention and Control (CCM) projects related to genomics and omics sciences.

## **Funding**

This study was funded by the National Center for Disease Prevention and Control (CCM), Italian Ministry of Health (CCM2021, CUP B85F21004970001).

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

## **Data availability**

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

## **Key points**

- This survey addressed to Italian citizens demonstrated a
  widespread interest in genetic testing and its potential to
  improve health outcomes, with over half of the respondents
  being aware of possible uses of genetic testing. However,
  uncertainties regarding its risks prevail, with over 80% of
  respondents indicating that doctors should be involved in
  prescribing tests and explaining the results of genetic tests.
- Respondents (98.4%) indicated they were not adequately
  informed about omics sciences and 95.5% expressed the need
  for more training initiatives for citizens. These results are
  informative because the appropriate and conscious utilization
  of new omics technologies and services by citizens requires
  correct information of users, regarding not only genetic testing,
  but also omics sciences and their possibilities and limitations.
- Based on our findings, we argue that citizens will be more receptive to adopting new behaviors and practices and contribute to the realization of precision health only if educational, socioeconomic and cultural hurdles are correctly addressed.

### References

- 1 Calabrò GE, Sassano M, Tognetto A, Boccia S. Citizens' attitudes, knowledge, and educational needs in the field of omics sciences: a systematic literature review. Front Genet 2020;11:570649.
- 2 Mazzucco W, Pastorino R, Lagerberg T, et al. Current state of genomic policies in healthcare among EU member states: results of a survey of chief medical officers. Eur J Public Health 2017;27:931–7.
- 3 Simone B, Mazzucco W, Gualano MR, et al.; GENISAP Network. The policy of public health genomics in Italy. *Health Policy* 2013;110:214–9.
- 4 European Commission. Declaration of cooperation. Towards access to at least 1 million sequenced genomes in the European Union by 2022. Available at: https://www.euapm.eu/pdf/EAPM\_Declaration\_Genome.pdf (17 July 2023, date last accessed).
- 5 Boccia S, Federici A, Siliquini R, et al. Implementation of genomic policies in Italy: the new national plan for innovation of the health system based on omics sciences. *Epidemiol Biostat Public Health* 2017;14:e12782.
- 6 Sassano M, Calabrò GE, Boccia S. A web screening on educational initiatives to increase citizens' literacy on genomics and genetics. Front Genet 2021;12:637438.
- 7 Calabrò GE, Sassano M, Boccia S. Citizens' literacy in genomics: a Delphi survey of multidisciplinary experts in the field. *Genes (Basel)* 2022;13:498.
- 8 Hosmer DW Jr, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied Logistic Regression. 3rd edn. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- 9 Sherburn IA, Finlay K, Best S. How does the genomic naive public perceive whole genomic testing for health purposes? A scoping review. Eur J Hum Genet 2023;31:35–47.
- 10 Vermeulen E, Henneman L, van El CG, Cornel MC. Public attitudes towards preventive genomics and personal interest in genetic testing to prevent disease: a survey study. Eur J Public Health 2014;24:768–75.